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About the National Center

The National Center for Fatality Review and Prevention is a resource and data center that supports child death review (CDR) and fetal and infant mortality review (FIMR) programs around the country.

It is funded in part by Cooperative Agreement Number UG7MC28482 from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Health Resources Services Administration (HRSA), Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB).
Center aligns with MCHB priorities and performance and outcome measures such as:

- Healthy pregnancy
- Child and infant mortality
- Injury prevention
- Safe sleep
HRSA’s overall vision for the Center

- Through delivery of data, training, and technical support, the Center will assist state and community programs in:
  - Understanding how CDR and FIMR reviews can be used to address issues related to adverse maternal, infant, child, and adolescent outcomes
  - Improving the quality and effectiveness of CDR/FIMR processes
  - Increasing the availability and use of data to inform prevention efforts and for national dissemination

Ultimate goal: improving systems of care and outcomes for mothers, infants, children, and families
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Housekeeping

- Webinar is being recorded and will be available with slides in a few days on our website: www.ncfrp.org. We’ll notify participants when it’s posted.

- All participants will be muted in listen only mode.

- Questions can be typed into the Chat Window. Due to the number of participants, we may not be able to get to all questions in the time allotted. The Center will answer all questions and post the answers on the NCFRP website: https://www.ncfrp.org/
Work group on Effective Review of Deaths of Children with Disabilities and Special Health Care Needs

Work group members

Child Death Review State/D.C. Coordinators
- Anne Pedrick, Delaware, Chair
- Faith Vos Winkel, Connecticut
- Arleymah Gray, Georgia
- Tracie Martin, District of Columbia
- Jenna Beebe, District of Columbia

National Center Staff
- Linda Potter
Products

• Written Guidance
  – Gathering information for the review
  – Inviting the right people
  – Background on risk factors for deaths of children and infants with disabilities and SHCN
  – Possible discussion questions for the review
  – Sample recommendations
  – Toolkit of resources

• Webinar
Webinar Goals

Participants will:

• Know what Children’s Special Health Care Services (CSHCS) are and how to find them in your jurisdiction
• Understand that the infant/child’s condition may or may not have played a role in the death, and how to figure it out
• Understand the risk factors for death of infants/children with disabilities and/or special health care needs
• Know how to prepare for review of the deaths
• Know where to look for sample recommendations and for additional resources
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Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)

• America’s healthcare safety net - HRSA is the primary Federal agency for improving access to health care services for people who are uninsured, isolated or medically vulnerable

• Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB) - Provides leadership to improve the physical and mental health, safety and well-being of the maternal and child health population which includes all of the nation’s women, infants, children, adolescents, and their families, including fathers and children with special health care needs.

https://mchb.hrsa.gov/
Division of Services for Children with Special Health Needs (DSCSHN)

Mission
To provide national leadership to expand and strengthen access to a system of services for children and youth with special health care needs and their families as they grow and transition through adulthood

Vision
Optimal health and quality of life for all children and youth with special health needs and their families

Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs

- **Children who have or are at increased risk for a chronic physical, developmental, behavioral, or emotional condition and who also require health and related services or a type or amount beyond that required for children generally**
  - Nearly 20% of U.S. children under age 18 years
  - One in five U.S. families
  - Services needed from multiple systems – health care, public health, education, mental health, and social services
  - Increasing number of medically complex children

CYSHCN

• Definition includes broad spectrum from being at risk, to having an identified physical, cognitive, or behavioral condition, to being medical complex

• Families of CYSHCN
  • Significant financial and caregiving demands
  • Racial and ethnic disparities regarding prevalence, resource use, and survival rates

• CYSHCN can have psychological or behavioral co-morbidities associated with the primary condition

• Child may come to the CDR team already known as a CYSHCN or the review may uncover a previously unknown condition
State Title V CYSHCN Programs

- Supported through Title V of the Social Security Act
- At least 30% of Title V Block Grant funds must be used for children and youth with special health care needs
- Each state and territory, including the District of Columbia, has a dedicated CYSHCN program serving CYSHCN and their families
State Title V CYSHCN Programs

• Assume a leadership role in the development of family-centered, community-based, coordinated systems of care for CYSHCN and their families

• Guided by the six core systems outcomes for CYSHCN

[Images of the six core systems outcomes]

State Title V CYSHCN Programs

• States determine:
  • Eligibility requirements
  • Types of services provided
  • Moving away from direct care services and towards infrastructure-building, systems of services activities
State Title V CYSHCN Programs

Resources

- **Division of State and Community Health (DSCH)**
  - Provides a high level overview of State Title V programs

- **Title V Information System (TVIS)**
  - Captures key financial, program, and performance/indicator data as reported by State Title V programs
  - [https://mchb.tvisdata.hrsa.gov/](https://mchb.tvisdata.hrsa.gov/)

- **Association of Maternal and Child Health Programs (AMCHP)**
  - National association of Title V programs linking to other resources

- **National Standards for Systems of Care for CYSHCN**
  - Framework of structural and process systems standards for CYSHCN
Explore the Title V Federal-State Partnership

As one of the largest Federal block grant programs, Title V is a key source of support for promoting and improving the health of the Nation's mothers and children. The purpose of the Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant Program is to create Federal/State partnerships that enable each state/jurisdiction (hereafter referred to as state) to address the health services needs of its mothers, infants and children, which includes children with special health care needs, and their families.

National Data
FY 2015 Expenditures: $6,286,875,668 | FY 2015 Populations Served: 57,064,187

FY 2015 Expenditures
National: $6,286,875,668

FY 2015 Population Reach
National: 57,064,187
Maryland

Total Funding: $20,285,051
Total Individuals Served: 371,716

Go to State Data

State Contacts:
MCH Director
Slacey E. Little, PhD, MPH
Director, Office of Family and Community Health Services
Stacey.little@maryland.gov
Tel: (410) 767-6717

CSHCN Director
Donna X. Harris, BS
Director, CSHCN
Donna.Harris@maryland.gov
Tel: (410) 767-6730

FY 2015 Expenditures
Maryland: $20,285,051

FY 2015 Population Reach
Maryland: 371,716

National Performance Measures – Selection Breakdown
Other resources

- 51 HRSA supported Family-to-Family Health Information Centers and the National Center for Family Professional Partners

http://www.fv-ncfpp.org/
Other resources

• The National Coordinating Center for Regional Genetic Service Collaboratives

http://www.nccrcg.org/
Contact Information

Joan A. Scott, M.S., C.G.C.
Acting Director, Division of Children with Special Health Needs (DSCSHN)
Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB)
Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)
Email: jscott2@hrs.gov
Web: mchb.hrsa.gov
Twitter: twitter.com/HRSAgov
Facebook: facebook.com/HHS.HRSA
Why is it important that a review team know about disability and special health care needs?

- Different reports of the prevalence of children have disabilities in the US

- In the National Center’s Case Reporting System:
  - Over 20% of the deaths entered into the Case Reporting System are identified as having disabilities or a chronic health condition
  - 15% of those were receiving Children’s Special Health Care services
• Risk factors for death of infants and children with disabilities/special health care needs (SHCN) are different than for those without disabilities.

• What is asked of caregivers of infants and children with disabilities/SHCN is different than for those without disabilities.
Physical

- Mobility
- Anatomical loss
- Illnesses or disorders fit here too
Mental/cognitive/intellectual impairments

- Mental illness
- Intellectual disability
- Other conditions, such as autism
- Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder
- Learning disabilities
Sensory impairments

• When one or more of the senses is impaired
  – Hearing
  – Vision
  – Smell
  – Touch
  – Taste
  – Spatial awareness
Developmental disabilities

- Before age 22
- Expected to last a lifetime
- Interferes with “functioning”
Scenario

• Joey was 3 years old when he died. He had significant cerebral palsy and other conditions; he couldn’t speak, walk, sit up, or feed himself. He could smile, cry, see, and hear.

• Feeding Joey was time consuming, and it was difficult even though he ate only pureed food because the cerebral palsy affected his swallowing muscles; he was always in danger of choking or aspirating (inhaling food into his lungs), which can cause aspiration pneumonia.

• In addition to financial benefits and Medicaid for the family, Joey received Children’s Special Health Care Services, and physical and swallowing therapies from the education system.
• Joey was hospitalized three times with pneumonia. Each time, the hospital recorded that he was malnourished, and one time he was admitted with a pressure sore on his bottom.

• On the day he died, his mother brought him in to the hospital, and he died shortly after.

• The autopsy showed severe malnourishment and abusive head trauma.
Risk factors for death of infants/children with disabilities

Maltreatment risk and disability

• Studies vary as to how much higher their risk of maltreatment is
  – Children with disabilities are 1.7 - 3.4 x more likely to suffer maltreatment
Maltreatment risk varies by disability

- Children with mental illness or intellectual impairments tend to be the most vulnerable, with 4.6 x the risk of sexual violence compared with their peers without disabilities.

- 18% of deaf adults report being sexually abused as children; 30% physically abused by residential staff; 19% caregiver physical abuse.

- One study found that 18.5% of children with autism were physically abused and 16.6% had been sexually abused.
Why do infants/children with disabilities experience higher rates of maltreatment?

• Because they need services, they are exposed to more non-familial caregivers than those without disabilities

• Seen by predators as more vulnerable: perhaps unable to flee or make a report

• Parenting a child with disabilities can be demanding, particularly if the parents have no services to help with the child’s care or if they are not equipped to meet the demands of the care
Medical risks

- Aspiration, constipation, dehydration and seizures are the four major health issues that can cause death in infants and children with developmental disabilities. The first 3 can go unrecognized until major illness or death.
- Lack of independent mobility and independent feeding
- High demands on parents
- Complex and/or uncommon medical issues
- Medical care withheld
School can be unsafe too

- Bullying
- Prone restraint, or otherwise pinned on the floor
- Put in seclusion rooms
- Bus drivers
Risks in out of home placement – foster care

No continuity of medical/mental health services

Mental health needs

• 40-60% of kids in care may have psychiatric diagnosis
• National standards
  – ½ of kids received services complying with 1 standard; only 10% received care consistent with all standards

Physical/medical disability

• Danger of insufficient training of family
Out of home placement - institutions

• 2% of children with disabilities live in institutions
• Power differential; vulnerability to other children
• Lack of procedures for investigating/reporting abuse
Suicide

- Mental health issues
- Children with physical disabilities
- Bullying
Preparing for the review

What records do we need?

• Hospital/any specialty hospitals
• Medical home/pediatrician
• Specialists
• Early Intervention service providers
• Education records (IEP)
• If any agencies were involved, obtain their records about the child and also their policies/protocols pertaining to services to infants/children with disabilities.
Invite the right people

Invite people with relevant expertise to be ad hoc members of the review team. Examples may be:

- Specialty physician with expertise in the child’s medical or disability condition who can talk about it and can speak to the expected standard of practice for treatment of the condition.
- Ask someone from the state Children’s Special Health Care Services
- School or mental health personnel
Discussion about Joey

- Did he have a care coordinator?
- Who was helping Mom:
  - With Joey’s physical care?
  - With transportation to appointments?
- Who was watching out for Joey?
  - Hospital personnel each time he presented?
  - Therapist providing him direct services?
- Where did the system break down?
- What can we do to fix it so the next mother has the help she needs, and the next severely disabled child is well-cared for and safe?
Possible recommendations from Joey’s case

Recommendations in Joey’s case would depend on what is learned in the discussion. But here are some potential recommendations that may be suggested by the scenario’s details.

- That policy be adopted that all early intervention providers who go into homes to provide services to infants/children with disabilities and/or special health care needs be trained to recognize signs of neglect and abuse, and what to do if they see anything suspicious.

- Team could, depending on what it discovers about during discussion, make a recommendation tailored to an effort to improve reporting by hospital personnel when a presenting child is malnourished and/or has a pressure sore.
• That policy be adopted by the relevant agency that every newborn with a known disability leaves the birth hospital with a care plan and a service coordinator to assist with connection to needed services and to follow up to see that caregivers have sufficient help in the home and that care provided in the home is adequate for child’s health and safety.

• That the Child Welfare Agency develop committees of persons knowledgeable in disability to manage training for and be consultants to workers whose clients have disabilities.
Suggested discussion questions

Caregiver maltreatment

• Were services available to support the parents, and, if so, did they know about them?
  • Did they have a care coordinator?
  • Did the infant or child have a medical home?
• Were parents using available services, and, if not, why not?
• Did parent(s) have unmet mental health needs?
Caregiver maltreatment

• Were signs of abuse, neglect, or illnesses recognized and reported to promptly by health, school, and other care providers who have reporting duties?

• What is the welfare agency’s policy on children with disabilities? Is there a consulting committee? Is disability a required element of screening or safety assessments? Is the requirement strong enough?
Medical deaths

• Did this infant or child receive the medical services he or she needed?
• If not, why not?
• Was this infant or child’s life, health and safety taken as seriously as the life, health and safety of an infant or child without a disability?
• If doctors were not allowed by parents to give treatment, what was the process that allowed parents to withhold treatment?
Death at school

• What are the school and the school district policies on restraint and seclusion, and particularly prone restraint, and on bullying?
• There are models for training teachers alternatives to restraining and secluding children. Did the school know about them/use them?
Death in foster care

- Were foster parents given sufficient training and supports to care for the infant/child?
- Was there sufficient oversight of the infant/child and family?
Death in institution

- What caused the death?
- Was there sufficient supervision of the child?
- What are the policies in place on restraint and seclusion; prone restraints? Investigation and reporting of incidents?
- What could the institution have done to prevent this death?
- Was CPS notified?
Suicide in foster care

- There are proposed national standards for mental health assessment and services in foster care: were they followed?
- What are the welfare agency’s protocols regarding mental health assessment and needs of children in care?
- Was there a mental health assessment by the child welfare agency when the child went into care?
Suicide in foster care (cont.)

• Were services provided in accord with identified needs?
• Was there a coordinator of mental health services for the child?
• Were the biological parents included in provision of mental health treatment?
Examples of recommendations

Maltreatment

• That safety assessments by CPS workers include a question regarding identification of an infant or child’s disability and identification of specific disability-related services needed.

• That a policy be adopted by Child Welfare Agency that all CPS workers are to be trained to identify disabilities and specific disability-related needs of infants/children with disabilities, and that the training be incorporated into the curriculum.
Maltreatment (cont.)

• That the Child Welfare Agency develop committees of persons knowledgeable in disability to manage training for and be consultants to workers whose clients have disabilities.
Maltreatment (cont.)

• That policy be adopted that all early intervention providers who go into homes to provide services to infants/children with disabilities and/or special health care needs be trained to recognize signs of neglect and abuse, and what to do if they see anything suspicious.

• Repeat same recommendation for “home visitors.”
Foster care

• That protocol be adopted requiring assessment by the child welfare agency, using evidence-based tool, of all infants/children entering care for mental health and other disability-specific needs.

• That the child welfare agency adopt a policy that when a infant/child with a physical, mental, or intellectual disability is to be placed with foster parents, the foster parents receive child- and disability-specific training.
Foster care (cont.)

• That a policy be adopted by the relevant agency requiring provision of evidence-based mental health services to all children in care identified as in need of them.
Schools

• Local: That the school district adopt a policy forbidding physical restraint of children with disabilities or special health care needs, and that school staff be trained by evidence-based program on incident management to avoid the need for restraints/seclusion

• State: That the state education agency adopt a policy forbidding the use of prone restraint of students in schools.
Schools (cont.)

• That a policy be adopted by the school system that all school personnel, including aides, bus drivers, teachers and other providers, undergo criminal background checks prior to hiring, and that they not be hired if their backgrounds reveal history of violence of any type or neglect of a child.
Services to support parents

That policy be adopted by the relevant agency that:

• every newborn with a known disability leaves the birth hospital with a care plan and a service coordinator to assist with connection to needed services.

• parents of newborn with a disability are referred before discharge to Medicaid/EPSDT, Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP), Social Security, Early Intervention services, and/or Children’s Special Health Care Services.
Institutions

• That the relevant agency adopt a policy requiring that institutional workers be trained in Trauma Informed Care and in trauma-informed incident management techniques.

• That the agency responsible for mental health and developmental disabilities institutions adopt a policy prohibiting prone restraint.